

**EOIR Table 3-1. Outcome of Completed Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Representation Status and Fiscal Year, FY 2000 - FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Year and Representation Status	Completed Cases				
	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum	Ordered	Adjustment of
	Granted	Deferral	or CAT Relief Withdrawn		
		Granted			Granted
Pre-FY 2000 Represented Aliens	1	-	2	61	-
FY 2000 Represented Aliens	327	5	81	570	-
FY 2001 Represented Aliens	1280	50	304	2586	144
FY 2002 Represented Aliens	1664	60	558	4238	316
FY 2003 Represented Aliens	1597	42	499	4795	566
FY 2004 Represented Aliens	689	23	234	1821	741
Sub-Total, Represented Aliens	5558	180	1678	14071	1767
Pre-FY 2000 Unrepresented Aliens	-	-	1	191	-
FY 2000 Unrepresented Aliens	14	1	11	753	-
FY 2001 Unrepresented Aliens	37	4	64	1523	48
FY 2002 Unrepresented Aliens	40	3	71	1463	146
FY 2003 Unrepresented Aliens	29	9	100	1406	221
FY 2004 Unrepresented Aliens	16	-	25	315	346
Sub-Total, Unrepresented Aliens	136	17	272	5651	761
Total, Represented and Unrepresented Aliens	5694	197	1950	19722	2528

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. Fiscal Years reflected in this chart indicate the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-2. Outcome of Represented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	-	1	2
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	-	-	1
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	-	-	2
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	-	-	3
DALLAS, TEXAS	-	-	2
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	-	-	1
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1
EL PASO, TEXAS	-	-	1
ELOY, ARIZONA	-	-	3
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	-	-	2
HONOLULU, HAWAII	1	-	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	-	-	5
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	-	1	11
LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	-	-	6
MIAMI, FLORIDA	-	-	1
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	-	-	5
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	-	-	5
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	-	-	1
PORTLAND, OREGON	-	-	1
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	-	-	1
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	-	-	2
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	1
TOTAL	1	2	61

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case. The DHS source data was for FY 2000 - FY 2003, but EOIR's data match for the cases in this chart indicate a Pre-FY 2000 completion date.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-3. Outcome of Unrepresented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	NA	-	4
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	NA	-	2
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	NA	-	1
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	NA	1	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	NA	-	1
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	NA	-	5
BRADENTON COUNTY JAIL, FLORIDA	NA	-	1
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	NA	-	1
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	NA	-	2
DALLAS, TEXAS	NA	-	6
DENVER, COLORADO	NA	-	4
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	NA	-	2
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	NA	-	1
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	NA	-	14
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	NA	-	7
EL PASO, TEXAS	NA	-	1
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	NA	-	1
ELOY, ARIZONA	NA	-	5
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	NA	-	8
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	NA	-	1
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	NA	-	10
HONOLULU, HAWAII	NA	-	1
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	NA	-	5
HOUSTON, TEXAS	NA	-	5
IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA	NA	-	3
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	NA	-	1
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	NA	-	1

LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	NA	-	4
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	NA	-	2
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	NA	-	13
LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	NA	-	16
MIAMI, FLORIDA	NA	-	5
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	NA	-	1
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	NA	-	5
OAKDALE FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER, LOUISIANA	NA	-	2
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	NA	-	4
PORTLAND, OREGON	NA	-	1
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	NA	-	12
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	NA	-	12
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	NA	-	6
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	NA	-	9
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	NA	-	4
ULSTER - NEW YORK STATE DOC, NEW YORK	NA	-	2
TOTAL	NA	1	191

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case. The DHS source data was for FY 2000 - FY 2003, but EOIR's data match for the cases in this chart indicate a Pre-FY 2000 completion date.

ⁿ Removal Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-4. Outcome of Represented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	9	1	1	17	-
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	3	-	2	15	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	6	1	-	9	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	-	-	1	5	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	1	-	-	6	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	1	-	1	7	-
DALLAS, TEXAS	4	-	-	8	-
DENVER, COLORADO	-	-	-	1	-
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	2	-	-	2	-
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	1	-
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	5	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	-	-	-	3	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	7	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	79	-	45	45	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	5	-	-	3	-
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	-	-	-	1	-
HONOLULU, HAWAII	7	-	1	2	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	1	-	-	5	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	-	-	-	3	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	91	-	9	72	-
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	1	-	-	3	-
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	4	1	1	2	-
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	6	-	-	1	-
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	2	-	-	10	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	9	-	1	68	-
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	3	1	-	17	-
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	26	-	5	120	-

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	4	-		2	18	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	-	-		-	1	-
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	5	-		-	1	-
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	1	-		-	-	-
PORTLAND, OREGON	-	-		3	-	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	-	-		-	1	-
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	12	-		-	19	-
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	29		1	-	14	-
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-		1	2	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	1	-		7	69	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	-	-		1	11	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	8	-		-	3	-
TOTAL	327		5	81	570	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-5. Outcome of Unrepresented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	1	-	-	4	-
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	-	-	-	21	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	-	-	-	4	-
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	-	1	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	-	-	-	2	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	-	-	-	12	-
BRADENTON COUNTY JAIL, FLORIDA	-	-	-	1	-
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	-	-	-	4	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	-	-	1	12	-
DALLAS, TEXAS	-	-	-	4	-
DENVER, COLORADO	-	-	-	4	-
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	-	-	-	9	-
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	8	-
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	6	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	-	-	-	6	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	-	-	-	1	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	1	-	4	15	-
ELOY, ARIZONA	-	-	-	2	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	1	-	1	10	-
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	-	-	-	2	-
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	-	-	-	2	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	1	-	-	7	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	-	-	-	2	-
IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	1	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	5	-	-	39	-
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	-	-	1	33	-
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	1	-	2	5	-

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	-	-	1	5	-
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	59	-
LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	-	-	-	3	-
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	-	-	-	1	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	1	-	-	241	-
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	1	-	1	6	-
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	-	-	-	84	-
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	-	-	-	19	-
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-	3	-
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	-	-	-	2	-
PORTLAND, OREGON	-	-	-	3	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	-	-	-	3	-
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	54	-
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	1	1	-	26	-
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	1	-	-	4	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	-	-	-	15	-
TUCSON, ARIZONA	-	-	-	1	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	-	5	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-	2	-
TOTAL	14	1	11	753	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-6. Outcome of Represented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	26	-	5	51	-
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	9	-	3	44	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	16	3	3	28	-
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	-	1	-	2	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	19	-	-	14	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	23	-	1	35	-
BRADENTON COUNTY JAIL, FLORIDA	-	-	-	1	-
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	-	-	1	4	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	30	-	3	41	-
DALLAS, TEXAS	18	1	-	21	1
DENVER, COLORADO	3	-	-	3	-
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	19	2	6	20	-
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	1	-	-	-	-
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	1	-	-	9	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	3	-	-	8	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	4	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	150	5	63	115	-
ELOY, ARIZONA	-	-	-	1	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	3	1	-	4	-
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	-	-	3	1	2
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	1	-	-	2	-
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	1	-	-	8	-
HONOLULU, HAWAII	20	-	-	4	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	8	1	3	24	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	7	-	-	10	-
IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA	2	-	-	2	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	111	2	18	134	-

KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	1	-	2	10	-
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1	11	-
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	3	-	2	6	-
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	34	4	5	50	1
LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	-	-	-	1	-
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	2	-	3	5	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	100	2	74	483	131
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	7	-	1	12	-
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	314	11	60	1058	-
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	44	2	21	90	-
OAKDALE FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER, LOUISIANA	3	-	-	6	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	7	-	2	11	8
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	7	-	1	54	-
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	7	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND, OREGON	1	-	-	3	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	-	1	-	2	1
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	68	5	3	48	-
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	127	4	4	46	-
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	6	1	4	5	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	30	-	6	53	-
TUCSON, ARIZONA	2	-	-	-	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	2	1	2	21	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	40	3	4	25	-
TOTAL	1280	50	304	2586	144

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited

Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-7. Outcome of Unrepresented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	3	-	2	16	-
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	-	-	-	54	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	-	-	-	20	-
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	-	6	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	1	-	-	10	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	-	-	-	27	-
BRADENTON COUNTY JAIL, FLORIDA	-	-	-	1	-
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	-	-	-	12	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	-	-	2	48	-
DALLAS, TEXAS	-	-	-	22	-
DENVER, COLORADO	-	-	-	6	-
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	1	-	-	16	-
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1	5	-
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	4	-	-	25	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	1	-	-	6	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	-	-	-	5	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	2	-	16	35	-
ELOY, ARIZONA	-	-	-	4	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	-	-	-	9	-
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	-	-	-	3	-
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	1	-	-	11	-
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	-	-	-	8	-
HONOLULU, HAWAII	-	-	-	2	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	3	-	-	15	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	-	-	-	7	-
IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA	2	1	-	4	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	4	-	8	66	-

KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	-	-	-	29	-
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	1	-	1	5	-
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	-	-	-	5	-
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	88	-
LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	-	-	-	12	-
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	-	-	-	5	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	8	-	25	491	45
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	-	-	-	6	-
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	1	-	1	152	-
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	-	-	-	38	-
OAKDALE FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER, LOUISIANA	-	-	-	1	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	-	1	1	7	3
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-	6	-
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	-	-	1	1	-
PORTLAND, OREGON	-	-	-	3	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	-	1	-	10	-
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1	178	-
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	3	-	-	20	-
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	1	1	3	5	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	-	-	-	8	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	-	6	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	1	-	2	4	-
TOTAL	37	4	64	1523	48

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited

Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-8. Outcome of Represented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	27	2	2	47	-
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	14	1	13	85	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	12	-	3	36	1
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	1	4	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	6	-	1	26	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	31	-	4	70	2
BRADENTON COUNTY JAIL, FLORIDA	-	-	-	1	-
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	-	-	2	8	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	29	-	1	72	2
DALLAS, TEXAS	7	-	4	34	2
DENVER, COLORADO	5	-	-	8	2
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	87	6	33	87	1
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	3	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	2	1	1	18	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	-	-	-	1	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	79	1	58	190	-
ELOY, ARIZONA	-	-	-	3	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	1	1	-	4	-
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	1	-	3	3	-
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	1	-	1	4	-
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	11	-	-	25	-
HONOLULU, HAWAII	36	-	6	16	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	5	-	2	16	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	6	-	1	23	-
IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	6	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	74	2	17	150	-
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	17	2	4	101	-
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	8	1	8	49	-

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	-	-	1	8	2
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	44	3	6	82	15
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	10	-	15	21	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	193	-	114	803	273
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	6	1	2	12	-
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	609	15	137	1659	-
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	46	4	36	162	4
OAKDALE FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER, LOUISIANA	4	-	-	2	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	22	2	5	28	3
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	5	-	2	57	-
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	19	-	-	1	-
PORTLAND, OREGON	6	-	-	7	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	2	-	-	6	3
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	111	9	11	95	5
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	84	6	45	88	-
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	3	7	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	17	1	10	29	-
TUCSON, ARIZONA	1	-	-	-	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	4	-	3	32	1
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	22	2	3	49	-
TOTAL	1664	60	558	4238	316

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-9. Outcome of Unrepresented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	-	-	1	24	-
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	2	-	1	59	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	1	-	1	24	2
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	-	6	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	1	-	-	3	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	-	-	-	39	-
BRADENTON COUNTY JAIL, FLORIDA	-	-	-	1	-
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	-	-	-	7	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	-	-	1	79	-
DALLAS, TEXAS	-	-	2	15	1
DENVER, COLORADO	-	-	-	4	-
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	-	-	-	19	-
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	4	-
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	5	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	-	-	2	11	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	-	-	9	70	-
ELOY, ARIZONA	-	-	-	2	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	-	-	-	7	-
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	-	-	-	5	-
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	-	-	-	9	-
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	-	-	-	9	-
HONOLULU, HAWAII	-	-	1	-	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	-	-	2	19	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	-	-	-	10	-
IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	1	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	1	-	12	32	-
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	5	1	5	160	-

LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	3	-	2	15	-
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	-	-	-	5	-
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	2	-	-	43	-
LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	1	-	-	11	-
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	-	-	-	6	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	6	-	20	355	128
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	-	1	-	6	-
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	5	-	-	134	-
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	-	-	-	45	1
OAKDALE FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER, LOUISIANA	-	-	-	2	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	3	-	-	11	12
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-	8	-
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	-	-	-	-	1
PORTLAND, OREGON	-	-	-	3	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	-	-	-	6	-
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	1	-	1	99	1
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	1	1	1	35	-
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	2	-	3	13	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	-	-	-	7	-
TUCSON, ARIZONA	-	-	-	1	-
ULSTER - NEW YORK STATE DOC, NEW YORK	-	-	-	1	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	1	12	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	6	-	6	21	-
TOTAL	40	3	71	1463	146

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿ Removal Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-10. Outcome of Represented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	11	-	5	78	3
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	3	-	15	85	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	9	-	7	39	2
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	1	-	2	12	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	4	1	1	10	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	44	-	8	135	1
BRADENTON COUNTY JAIL, FLORIDA	-	-	1	3	-
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	7	-	3	22	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	26	2	4	110	2
DALLAS, TEXAS	10	-	2	25	4
DENVER, COLORADO	9	-	1	12	-
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	44	3	25	94	-
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	2	-	1	11	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	5	-	-	4	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	1	-	2	2	3
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	34	-	50	175	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	2	-	-	6	-
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	2	-	3	23	-
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	3	2	-	4	3
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	13	-	1	43	-
HONOLULU, HAWAII	2	-	1	3	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	2	-	-	13	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	3	-	4	22	5
IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1	1	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	28	-	11	91	-
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	19	-	15	267	-
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	25	4	3	118	-

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	1	-	-	7	11
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	82	3	11	106	13
LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	1	-	-	5	-
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	6	-	5	8	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	182	2	87	952	482
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	4	-	1	27	1
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	668	5	129	1619	2
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	41	2	36	124	2
OAKDALE FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER, LOUISIANA	2	-	-	4	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	35	1	7	24	19
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	26	-	3	70	-
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	12	-	1	9	-
PORTLAND, OREGON	2	-	1	8	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	-	-	1	2	4
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	90	5	12	185	3
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	52	7	15	87	5
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	44	1	16	50	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	19	-	3	31	1
TUCSON, ARIZONA	1	-	-	-	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	3	-	-	32	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	17	4	5	37	-
TOTAL	1597	42	499	4795	566

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-11. Outcome of Unrepresented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	-	-	-	21	-
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	-	-	7	61	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	-	-	-	10	-
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	2	3	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	-	-	-	4	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	-	1	-	15	-
BRADENTON COUNTY JAIL, FLORIDA	-	-	-	4	-
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	-	-	-	2	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	-	-	2	38	1
DALLAS, TEXAS	-	-	-	8	1
DENVER, COLORADO	-	-	-	3	-
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	5	1	3	9	-
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	1	-	-	15	-
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	2	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	2	-	-	10	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	-	-	-	4	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	-	-	26	61	-
ELOY, ARIZONA	-	-	-	1	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	-	1	-	9	-
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	-	-	1	3	-
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	-	-	-	3	-
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	-	-	-	1	-
HONOLULU, HAWAII	-	-	-	2	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	-	-	3	15	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	1	-	-	9	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	1	-	4	32	-
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	-	-	13	98	-

LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	1	1	3	16	-
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	-	-	-	4	1
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	-	-	2	25	-
LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	1	-	-	12	-
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	1	-	-	-	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	4	-	8	680	198
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	-	-	1	25	-
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	4	1	4	51	-
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	-	1	3	19	-
OAKDALE FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER, LOUISIANA	-	-	2	3	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	1	-	1	14	19
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-	3	-
PORTLAND, OREGON	-	-	1	2	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	1	-	-	17	-
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	3	1	3	41	1
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	-	1	1	17	-
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	2	1	6	10	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	1	-	1	8	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	1	2	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	2	14	-
TOTAL	29	9	100	1406	221

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-12. Outcome of Represented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	8	1	3	21	1
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	3	-	7	63	2
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	8	-	2	26	1
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	1	-	1	2	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	3	-	-	3	1
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	17	-	7	53	1
BUFFALO, NEW YORK	-	-	1	9	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	8	-	1	59	8
DALLAS, TEXAS	2	-	1	6	3
DENVER, COLORADO	-	1	-	2	3
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	12	-	10	62	1
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	2	4	4	11	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	5	-	-	3	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	-	-	-	1	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	19	-	11	49	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	-	-	-	2	-
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	-	-	-	2	2
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	-	-	1	-	3
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	6	-	1	10	4
HONOLULU, HAWAII	3	-	-	-	1
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	6	-	-	7	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	3	-	2	2	3
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	10	2	1	18	-
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	4	-	15	75	-
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	3	1	-	14	-
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	-	1	-	5	17
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	37	1	4	59	10

LOS FRESNOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	-	-	-	1	-
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	2	-	1	2	8
MIAMI, FLORIDA	74	1	48	498	632
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	5	-	1	17	-
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	295	1	59	482	6
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	20	-	17	58	-
OAKDALE FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER, LOUISIANA	1	-	-	1	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	9	-	4	15	16
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	9	-	4	28	-
PHOENIX, ARIZONA	5	-	1	2	7
PORTLAND, OREGON	-	-	-	1	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	2	-	2	3	3
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	41	4	6	68	6
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	35	2	7	31	2
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	8	1	7	1	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	8	3	2	3	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	2	-	1	29	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	13	-	2	17	-
TOTAL	689	23	234	1821	741

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 3-13. Outcome of Unrepresented Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Base City and Application Decision, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

BASE CITY NAME	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA	1	-	3	4	-
ATLANTA, GEORGIA	-	-	-	27	-
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	-	-	-	2	-
BATAVIA SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	1	1	-
BLOOMINGTON (ST. PAUL), MINNESOTA	-	-	-	1	-
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	-	-	-	6	-
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	-	-	1	7	-
DALLAS, TEXAS	1	-	-	2	-
DENVER, COLORADO	-	-	-	1	-
DETROIT, MICHIGAN	1	-	-	3	2
EAST MESA, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	3	-
EL CENTRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	1	-
EL PASO SPC, TEXAS	-	-	-	1	-
EL PASO, TEXAS	-	-	-	7	-
ELIZABETH SPC, NEW JERSEY	-	-	4	12	-
FLORENCE SPC, ARIZONA	-	-	-	2	-
GUAYNABO (SAN JUAN), PUERTO RICO	-	-	2	5	1
HARLINGEN, TEXAS	-	-	-	1	-
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT	3	-	-	2	-
HOUSTON SPC, TEXAS	-	-	1	3	-
HOUSTON, TEXAS	-	-	-	3	-
JAMAICA QUEENS FACILITY, NEW YORK	-	-	-	4	-
KROME NORTH SPC, FLORIDA	-	-	-	12	-
LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA	-	-	-	1	-
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA	-	-	-	-	1
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	1	-	-	2	-

LOS FRENOS (PORT ISABEL SPC), TEXAS	-	-	1	5	-
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	1	-	1	1	-
MIAMI, FLORIDA	1	-	4	100	287
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	1	-	-	31	1
NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK	3	-	-	17	-
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY	-	-	-	7	-
ORLANDO, FLORIDA	-	-	-	8	53
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-	1	-
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	-	-	2	14	-
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	-	-	2	6	-
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	1	-	1	3	1
SAN PEDRO SPC, CALIFORNIA	-	-	1	2	-
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	-	-	-	1	-
VARICK SPC, NEW YORK	-	-	-	5	-
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA	2	-	1	1	-
TOTAL	16	-	25	315	346

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.